

ON QUASI-POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS

Dedicated to Professor Yoshikazu Nakai on his sixtieth birthday

Teruo ASANUMA*

Faculty of Education, Toyama University, 3190 Gofuku Toyama-shi, Japan

Communicated by H. Bass

Received 5 December 1981

Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring, and let $R^{[n]}$ be a polynomial ring $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. For a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , we denote by $j(\mathfrak{p})$ the integral closure of R/\mathfrak{p} in its quotient field $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that $j(\mathfrak{p})$ is an R -subalgebra of $k(\mathfrak{p})$. An R -algebra A is called a quasi-polynomial algebra in n -variables if the base extension $j(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A$ is $j(\mathfrak{p})$ -isomorphic to a polynomial ring $j(\mathfrak{p})^{[n]}$ in n -variables over $j(\mathfrak{p})$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . The purpose of the present paper is to discuss some topics related to quasi-polynomial algebras.

We will need some terminology from [8] and [9]. An R -algebra A is called weakly projective if A is a retract of a polynomial ring $R^{[n]}$, i.e. there is a pair of R -homomorphisms $g: A \rightarrow R^{[n]}$ and $f: R^{[n]} \rightarrow A$ such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_A$, the identity map on A . An R -algebra A is called invertible¹ if there is an R -algebra B such that $A \otimes_R B \cong_R R^{[n]}$ for some n . An invertible algebra is weakly projective but the converse does not necessarily hold (6.11) (see [14], [22]). An R -algebra A is called strongly projective if there is a projective A -module M such that the symmetric algebra $S_A(M)$ is R -isomorphic to a polynomial ring $R^{[n]}$. These three types of algebras are very closely related to quasi-polynomial algebras.

After Milnor's construction of projective modules, [16], Connell and Wright construct invertible algebras, [9], and Yanik constructs weakly projective algebras, [22]. In a similar way we will construct strongly projective algebras in Section 3, which play important role in this paper.

In Section 2 we consider the automorphism group of $R^{[n]}$ in connection with a lemma (2.5) which is the basic tool in constructing strongly projective algebras.

Theorem 4.5 shows that a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial algebra A over a noetherian ring R is strongly projective provided that the differential

* Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Co-operative Research.

¹ Called 'projective' in [8] and [22].

A -module $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective. An R -algebra A is called stably equivalent to an R -algebra B if $A^{[n]} \cong_R B^{[n]}$ for some n , [11]. We obtain the following corollary (4.7) of Theorem 4.5: Let A be a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial algebra over a noetherian ring R . Then A is stably equivalent to $R^{[n]}$ if and only if $\Omega_R(A)$ is stably equivalent to A^n , i.e. $\Omega_R(A) \oplus A^r \cong A^{n+r}$ for some r . We note that the assumption of $\Omega_R(A)$ to be projective over A can be deleted from Theorem 4.5 when R is reduced (5.2).

In Section 5 we consider a finitely-generated flat R -algebra A such that the fibre ring $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A$ is $k(\mathfrak{p})$ -isomorphic to $k(\mathfrak{p})^{[1]}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . When R is noetherian ring, we prove that such an R -algebra A is a locally quasi-polynomial R -algebra, i.e. $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a quasi-polynomial $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R (see [15]).

In Section 6 we consider invertible algebras. If A is an invertible R -algebra, then $\Omega_R(A)$ is finitely-generated projective over A (6.2). The main purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem (6.7): Let R be a commutative ring, and let A be an R -algebra. Then A is an invertible R -algebra such that $\Omega_R(A)$ is of rank one if and only if A is stably equivalent to $S_R(M)$ for some finitely-generated projective R -module M of rank one.

1. Generalities

In this paper all rings are assumed to be commutative with unit.

1.1. Let R be a ring, and let A be an R -algebra with the structure homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow A$. We call that A is an augmented R -algebra if there is a ring homomorphism $g: A \rightarrow R$ such that $g \circ f = \text{id}_R$. The homomorphism g is called an augmentation of A . In this case, R is said to be a retract of A . If R is a retract of A , then f is injective, and hence R may be viewed as a subring of A . Thus A is an augmented R -algebra if and only if A is of the form $A = R \oplus I$ for some ideal I of A , [10]. An R -algebra A is called weakly projective if A is a retract of a polynomial ring $R^{[n]}$, [8].

1.2. Let M be an A -module. We denote by $S'_A(M)$ the component of $S_A(M)$ in degree r . The natural augmentation $\phi: S_A(M) \rightarrow A$ is defined by $\phi(F) = a$, where F is any element of $S_A(M)$ and a is a constant of F . Therefore $\text{Ker } \phi = \bigoplus_{r>0} S'_A(M)$, where $\text{Ker } \phi$ denotes the kernel of ϕ .

1.3. An R -algebra A is called strongly projective if there is a projective A -module M such that $S_A(M) \cong_R R^{[n]}$. Note that a strongly projective algebra is weakly projective.

1.4. let N be a finitely-generated projective R -module, and let $A = S_R(N)$. We can choose a projective R -module E so that $N \oplus E = R^n$, a free R -module of rank n . If

we set $M = A \otimes_R E$, then M is a projective A -module and we have

$$S_A(M) \cong_A A \otimes_R S_R(E) \cong_R R^{[n]}.$$

This shows that the symmetric algebra A is strongly projective over R .

1.5. Let A be a weakly (resp. strongly) projective R -algebra. Then A is a finitely-generated flat R -algebra. Given an R -algebra S , the S -algebra $S \otimes_R A$ is weakly (resp. strongly) projective. These facts immediately follow from the definition of weakly (resp. strongly) projective algebras. If M is an A -module such that $S_A(M) \cong_R R^{[n]}$, then $S_A(M)$ is finitely-generated over A , and hence M is also finitely-generated as an A -module.

1.6. Given a ring homomorphism $\phi: R \rightarrow S$, and given an R -algebra A (resp. R -module N), we often denote by $\phi_* A$ (resp. $\phi_* N$) the induced S -algebra $S \otimes_R A$ (resp. S -module $S \otimes_R N$). (See [16, p. 19].) An A -module M is said to be extended from R if there is an R -module M' such that $M \cong A \otimes_R M'$.

1.7. Proposition. *Let A be a weakly projective R -algebra, and let M be an A -module. Suppose the $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is extended from $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . Then M is extended from T .*

Proof. Since A is a retract of a polynomial ring, there is a pair of R -homomorphisms $h: A \rightarrow R^{[n]}$ and $j: R^{[n]} \rightarrow A$ such that $j \circ h = \text{id}_A$. Since $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is extended from $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we can choose an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module N so that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N$. Therefore we have $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R h_* M \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{[n]} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N$, i.e. $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R h_* M$ is an extended $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{[n]}$ -module from R . This shows that $h_* M$ is an extended $R^{[n]}$ -module from R , [18]. Thus we can find an R -module L so that $h_* M \cong R^{[n]} \otimes_R L$. This $R^{[n]}$ -isomorphism induces an A -isomorphism $j_* h_* M \cong j_*(R^{[n]} \otimes_R L) \cong A \otimes_R L$. On the other hand, $j_* h_* M \cong M$ because $j \circ h = \text{id}_A$. Therefore $M \cong A \otimes_R L$, which completes the proof. \square

1.8. Proposition. *Let M be an A -module such that $\Omega_R(A) \oplus M$ is a finitely-generated projective A -module of rank n . Then $\Omega_R(S_A(M)) \cong S_A(M) \otimes_A (\Omega_R(A) \oplus M)$.*

Proof. Let $\phi: S_A(M) \rightarrow A$ be a natural augmentation, and let $I = \text{Ker } \phi$. Note that $I/I^2 \cong M$ as A -modules because $I = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} S_A^r(M)$. Now consider the usual exact sequence

$$I/I^2 \xrightarrow{\psi} \phi_* \Omega_R(S_A(M)) \longrightarrow \Omega_R(A) \longrightarrow (0)$$

of A -modules. Since $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective over A , this exact sequence splits, and therefore $\phi_* \Omega_R(S_A(M)) = \Omega_R(A) \oplus \psi(I/I^2)$. Let P be a prime ideal of A . Then M_P is a free A_P -module of finite rank, say r . Therefore $S_A(M)_P \cong A_P^{[r]}$ and

$$\Omega_R(S_A(M)_P) \cong S_A(M)_P \otimes_{A_P} (\Omega_R(A_P) \oplus A_P^r).$$

Moreover

$$\Omega_R(A_P) \oplus A_P^r \cong A_P \otimes_A (\Omega_R(A) \oplus M) \cong A_P^n,$$

which implies $\Omega(S_A(M)_P) \cong S_A(M)_P^n$. This shows that $\Omega_R(S_A(M))$ is extended from A (Proposition 1.7) because the symmetric algebra $S_A(M)$ is weakly projective over A (1.4). If we denote by $\phi_P: S_A(M)_P \rightarrow A_P$ the induced augmentation, then

$$A_P \otimes_A \phi_* \Omega_R(S_A(M)) \cong \phi_{P*} \Omega_R(S_A(M)_P) \cong A_P^n.$$

So $\phi_* \Omega_R(S_A(M))$ is a projective A -module of rank n . Since $\phi_* \Omega_R(S_A(M))$ is a homomorphic image of $\Omega_R(A) \oplus M$, we get $\phi_* \Omega_R(S_A(M)) \cong \Omega_R(A) \oplus M$. Thus

$$\Omega_R(S_A(M)) \cong S_A(M) \otimes_A \phi_* \Omega_R(S_A(M)) \cong S_A(M) \otimes_A (\Omega_R(A) \oplus M)$$

and we conclude the proof. \square

1.9. Corollary. *Let M be a projective A -module such that $S_A(M) \cong_R R^{[n]}$. Then $\Omega_R(A) \oplus M \cong A^n$.*

1.10. Proposition. *Let A be an R -algebra such that $S_A(M)$ is a strongly projective R -algebra for some finitely-generated projective A -module M . Then A is also a strongly projective R -algebra.*

Proof. Let us set $B = S_A(M)$. We can choose a projective B -module L so that $S_B(L) \cong_R R^{[n]}$. It follows from Corollary 1.9 that $\Omega_R(B) \oplus L \cong B^n$. Thus $\Omega_R(B)$ is a finitely-generated projective B -module. Given a prime ideal P of A , as shown in the proof of Proposition 1.8, $\Omega_R(B)_P$ is a free B_P -module of finite rank, say r . If we set $N = B^n \oplus L$, then

$$N_P = B_P^{n-r} \oplus \Omega_R(B)_P \oplus L_P \cong B_P^{2n-r},$$

i.e. N_P is an extended $S_A(M)_P$ -module from A_P . Therefore N is extended from A by Proposition 1.7, and hence we can choose some projective A -module E so that $N \cong B \otimes_A E$. As a consequence we obtain $S_B(N) \cong_B B \otimes_A S_A(E) \cong_A S_A(M \oplus E)$. On the other hand, $S_B(N) \cong_B S_B(B^n \oplus L) \cong_R R^{[2n]}$. So $S_A(M \oplus E) \cong_R R^{[2n]}$, which shows that A is strongly projective over R . \square

1.11. Proposition. *Let A be a strongly projective R -algebra. Then there is an injection $\theta: A \hookrightarrow R^{[n]}$ such that $A^{[n]} \cong_R S_{R^{[n]}}(\theta_* \Omega_R(A))$.*

Proof. Let M be a projective A -module such that $S_A(M) \cong_R R^{[n]}$. Under this isomorphism we identify $S_A(M)$ with $R^{[n]}$. In particular A is an R -subalgebra of $R^{[n]}$. Since $S_A(M) \otimes_A S_A(\Omega_R(A)) \cong_A A^{[n]}$ (Corollary 1.9), we have

$$A^{[n]} \cong_R R^{[n]} \otimes_A S_A(\Omega_R(A)) \cong_R S_{R^{[n]}}(R^{[n]} \otimes_A \Omega_R(A)),$$

which completes the proof. \square

1.12. A ring R is said to be a PE_m -ring if an arbitrary projective $R^{[n]}$ -module M of rank m is extended from R for any positive integer n (cf. [12]). In particular a

PE_1 -ring is also said to be a seminormal ring. Namely a ring R is seminormal if and only if a natural injection $\text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^{[n]})$ is an isomorphism for any positive integer n , where $\text{Pic}(\ast)$ denotes the Picard group (see [20], [21]). A one-dimensional noetherian domain D is a PE_m -ring for any positive integer m if and only if D is seminormal ([6]).

1.13. Proposition. *Let R be a PE_m -ring, and let A be a strongly projective R -algebra. Suppose $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective of rank m . Then A is stably equivalent to $S_R(N)$ for some projective R -module N .*

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 1.11, A may be considered as an R -subalgebra of $R^{[n]}$ such that $A^{[n]} \cong_R S_{R^{[n]}}(R^{[n]} \otimes_A \Omega_R(A))$. Since $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective over A of rank m , $R^{[n]} \otimes_A \Omega_R(A)$ is extended from R , and hence we can choose some projective R -module N so that $R^{[n]} \otimes_A \Omega_R(A) \cong R^{[n]} \otimes_R N$. This shows that

$$A^{[n]} \cong_R S_{R^{[n]}}(R^{[n]} \otimes_R N) \cong S_R(N)^{[n]}$$

as required. \square

2. Automorphisms of polynomial rings

2.1. Let R be a ring. After [9] we will write $\text{GA}_n(R)$ for the group of R -automorphisms of $R^{[n]}$. Let $(F_i)_i^n = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ denote the vector for $F_1, \dots, F_n \in R^{[n]}$. An element $\alpha \in \text{GA}_n(R)$ is called elementary if α is of the form

$$(\alpha(X_i))_i^n = (X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_j + F, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n),$$

where $F \in R[X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n]$. The subgroup of $\text{GA}_n(R)$ generated by all elementary elements is denoted by $\text{EA}_n(R)$.

2.2. Let $\text{GL}_n(R)$ be a general linear group, and let I_n be an identity matrix of $\text{GL}_n(R)$. We denote by $E_n(R)$ the subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(R)$ generated by all elementary matrices.

2.3. Let α be an element of $\text{GA}_n(R)$. The Jacobian matrix $J(\alpha) \in \text{GL}_n(R^{[n]})$ is defined by $J(\alpha) = (\partial \alpha(X_j) / \partial X_i)_{ij}$. Let $\phi = (f_{ij})$ be an element of $\text{GL}_m(R^{[n]})$, and let r be an integer such that $r \geq n$. We define $\pi_r(\phi) \in \text{GA}_{m+r}(R)$ by

$$(\pi_r(\phi)X_i)_{i=1}^{r+m} = (X_1, \dots, X_{r+m}) \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & \phi \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. $\pi_r(\phi)(X_i) = X_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and

$$\pi_r(\phi)(X_{r+j}) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_{ij} X_{r+i} \quad (j = 1, \dots, m).$$

Note that $\pi_r: \text{GL}_m(R^{[n]}) \rightarrow \text{GA}_{m+r}(R)$ is an injective group homomorphism. Now let α' be an element of $\text{GA}_{n+1}(R)$ defined by $(\alpha'(X_i))_i^{n+1} = (\alpha(X_1), \dots, \alpha(X_n), X_{n+1})$. Then the map $\text{GA}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{GA}_{n+1}(R)$ defined by $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha'$ is clearly an injective group homomorphism. Thus we may regard $\text{GA}_n(R)$ as a subgroup of $\text{GA}_{n+1}(R)$. In particular, $\text{GA}_n(R)$ is a subgroup of $\text{GA}_{2n}(R)$. Therefore $\tilde{\alpha} = \pi_n(J(\alpha)^{-1})\alpha$ is well defined as an element of $\text{GA}_{2n}(R)$. Indeed, $\tilde{\alpha}$ can be described as follows:

$$(\tilde{\alpha}(X_i))_i^{2n} = (\alpha(X_1), \dots, \alpha(X_n), X_{n-1}, \dots, X_{2n}) \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & J(\alpha)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(See [9].)

2.4. Given a ring homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$, let us denote by $f^*: \text{GA}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$ (resp. $f^\circ: \text{GL}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{R})$), the induced group homomorphism. If f is injective, f^* (resp. f°) is also injective, and hence $\text{GA}_n(R)$ (resp. $\text{GL}_n(R)$) may be considered as a subgroup of $\text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$ (resp. $\text{GL}_n(\bar{R})$) when R is a subring of \bar{R} . If f is surjective, then it is easy to see that $f^*(\text{EA}_n(R)) = \text{EA}_n(\bar{R})$ (resp. $f^\circ(E_n(R)) = E_n(\bar{R})$). In other words, any element α of $\text{EA}_n(\bar{R})$ (resp. $E_n(\bar{R})$) can be lifted to an element of $\text{EA}_n(R)$ (resp. $E_n(R)$).

The following, due to [1], [9] and [22], is the key lemma in this paper.

2.5. Lemma. *Let $\bar{R} = R/sR$ where $s \in R$, and let $f: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be the canonical projection. Suppose $\alpha \in \text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$. Then there is an element $\varrho \in \text{GA}_{2n}(R)$ such that $\tilde{\alpha} = f^*(\varrho)$. If s is a non-zero divisor, then we can choose such a ϱ to be an element of $\text{GA}_{2n}(R) \cap \text{EA}_{2n}(R[\frac{1}{s}])$.*

Proof. See [22, p. 345]. See also [9, p. 156] and [1, p. 466]. \square

2.6. Let $f_\lambda: R_\lambda \rightarrow \bar{R}$ ($\lambda = 1, 2$) be a pair of ring homomorphisms, and let $\bar{R}_\lambda = f_\lambda(R_\lambda)$. We say that $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair if $\{f_1, f_2\}$ satisfies the following conditions:

(Γ_1) R_2 is noetherian.

(Γ_2) $\text{Ker } f_2$ is a principal ideal.

(Γ_3) There is a unit element t of \bar{R} such that $t \in \bar{R}_1 \cap \bar{R}_2$ and $\bar{R} = \bar{R}_2[\frac{1}{t}] = \bar{R}_1 + t\bar{R}_2$.

From the condition (Γ_3) it easily follows that $\bar{R} = \bar{R}_1 + t'\bar{R}_2$ for any positive integer r . The following proposition is due essentially to Bryński [7].

2.7. Proposition. *Let $\{f_1, f_2\}$ be a Γ -pair as in 2.6. Then:*

(i) $\text{EA}_n(\bar{R}) \subset \text{GA}_n(\bar{R}_2)\text{EA}_n(\bar{R}_1)$,

(ii) $E_n(\bar{R}) \subset \text{GL}_n(\bar{R}_2)E_n(\bar{R}_1)$.

Proof. The proof of (ii) is quite similar to the proof of (i) and we only prove (i). Let β be an elementary element of $\text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$ which is of the form

$$(\beta(X_i))_i^n = (X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_j + F, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n)$$

for $F \in \bar{R}[X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n]$. Let r be a positive integer. Since $\bar{R} = \bar{R}_1 + t^r \bar{R}_2$, we can choose a pair of elements $F_\lambda \in \bar{R}_\lambda[X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n]$ ($\lambda = 1, 2$) so that $F = F_1 + t^r F_2$. We define an elementary element $\beta_{(r)}$ of $\text{GA}_n(\bar{R}_1)$ by

$$(\beta_{(r)}(X_i))_i^n = (X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_j + F_1, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n).$$

Now let α be any element of $\text{EA}_n(\bar{R})$. Then α is of the form $\alpha = \alpha_m \cdots \alpha_1$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are elementary elements. Let us set $\alpha_{kr} = \alpha_k \cdots \alpha_1 \alpha_{1(r)}^{-1} \cdots \alpha_{k(r)}^{-1}$. If $\deg H$ denotes the total degree of $H \in \bar{R}^{[n]}$, then there is an integer u such that $\deg \alpha_{kr}(X_i) \leq u$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Given a positive integer v , we shall show $\alpha_{kr}(X_i) = X_i \pmod{t^v \bar{R}_2^{[n]}}$ for some large integer r . Suppose $\alpha_{k-1,r}(X_i)$ is of the form $\alpha_{k-1,r}(X_i) = X_i + t^v H_i$ for $H_i \in \bar{R}_2^{[n]}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Since $\bar{R} = \bar{R}_2[\frac{1}{t}]$ and $\deg H_i \leq u$, given an integer w , we can easily verify that $\alpha_{kr}(X_i) = X_i \pmod{t^w \bar{R}_2^{[n]}}$ for sufficiently large integers r and v . This shows that $\alpha_{kr}(X_i) = X_i \pmod{t^v \bar{R}_2^{[n]}}$ for $k = 1, \dots, m$ by induction. In particular $\alpha_{mr} \in \text{GA}_n(\bar{R}_2^{[n]})$, and hence $\alpha \in \text{GA}_n(\bar{R}_2) \text{EA}_n(\bar{R}_1)$, which completes the proof of (i). \square

2.8. Proposition. *Let $\{f_1, f_2\}$ be a Γ -pair as in 2.6, and let ϕ be any element of $\text{GL}_n(\bar{R})$. Then there is an element $\psi \in \text{GL}_{3n}(\bar{R})$ such that $\phi \oplus \psi \in E_{4n}(\bar{R}_2) E_{4n}(\bar{R}_1)$.*

Proof. According to [16, p. 22], we have $\phi \oplus \phi^{-1} \in E_{2n}(\bar{R})$. Since

$$E_{2n}(\bar{R}) \subset \text{GL}_{2n}(\bar{R}_2) E_{2n}(\bar{R}_1)$$

by Proposition 2.7(ii), we can choose $\phi_1 \in E_{2n}(\bar{R}_1)$ and $\phi_2 \in \text{GL}_{2n}(\bar{R}_2)$ so that $\phi \oplus \phi^{-1} = \phi_2 \phi_1$. Again we have $\phi_2 \oplus \phi_2^{-1} \in E_{4n}(\bar{R}_2)$, and hence

$$\phi \oplus \phi^{-1} \oplus \phi_2^{-1} = \phi_2 \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2^{-1} = (\phi_2 \oplus \phi_2^{-1})(\phi_1 \oplus I_{2n}) \in E_{4n}(\bar{R}_2) E_{4n}(\bar{R}_1).$$

If we set $\psi = \phi^{-1} \oplus \phi_2^{-1}$, then $\psi \in \text{GL}_{3n}(\bar{R})$ and $\phi \oplus \psi \in E_{4n}(\bar{R}_2) E_{4n}(\bar{R}_1)$ as required. \square

2.9. Proposition. *Let $\{f_1, f_2\}$ be a Γ -pair as in 2.6.*

- (i) *For any $\alpha \in \text{GA}_n(\bar{R}_2)$, we have $\tilde{\alpha} \in f_2^*(\text{GA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_2))$.*
- (ii) *For any $\alpha \in \text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$, we have $\tilde{\alpha} \in \text{GA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_2) \text{EA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_1)$.*

Proof. (i): This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.5.

(ii): Let T be an indeterminate, and let $g: \bar{R}[T] \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be an augmentation defined by $g(T) = 0$. There is an element $\varrho \in \text{GA}_{2n}(\bar{R}[T]) \cap \text{EA}_{2n}(\bar{R}[T, T^{-1}])$ such that $\tilde{\alpha} = g^*(\varrho)$ (Lemma 2.5). This shows that $\tilde{\alpha} \varrho^{-1}$ is of the form $(\tilde{\alpha} \varrho^{-1}(X_i))_i^{2n} = (X_i + T H_i)_i^{2n}$, where $H_i = H_i(T, X_1, \dots, X_{2n}) \in \bar{R}[T]^{[2n]}$. Recall that $\bar{R} = \bar{R}_2[\frac{1}{t}]$, and therefore we get $t^r H_i(t^r, X_1, \dots, X_{2n}) \in \bar{R}_2^{[2n]}$ for sufficiently large integer r . Let $h_r: \bar{R}[T, T^{-1}] \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is an augmentation defined by $h_r(T) = t^r$. Since $h_r^*(\tilde{\alpha} \varrho^{-1}) = \tilde{\alpha} h_r^*(\varrho^{-1})$, we have

$$(\tilde{\alpha} h_r^*(\varrho^{-1})(X_i))_i^{2n} = (X_i + t^r H_i(t^r, X_1, \dots, X_{2n}))_i^{2n},$$

which implies $\tilde{\alpha} h_r^*(\varrho^{-1}) \in \text{GA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_2)$. On the other hand, from the fact $\varrho \in$

$EA_{2n}(\bar{R}[T, T^{-1}])$ it follows that $h_r^*(\varrho) \in EA_{2n}(\bar{R})$, and hence $h_r^*(\varrho) \in GA_{2n}(\bar{R}_2)EA_{2n}(\bar{R}_1)$ by Proposition 2.7. Thus $\bar{\alpha} \in GA_{2n}(\bar{R}_2)EA_{2n}(\bar{R}_1)$, which completes the proof of (ii). \square

3. The fibre product of strongly projective algebras

As for the basic concepts and results on fibre products we refer to [16, §2] and [3, Ch. VIII, §3].

3.1. Consider a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{p_2} & R_2 \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_2 \\ R_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \bar{R} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

of rings. Let A_λ be a strongly projective R_λ -algebra for $\lambda = 1, 2$. Suppose there is an \bar{R} -isomorphism $\alpha: f_{1*}A_1 \rightarrow f_{2*}A_2$. The fibre product $A = AL_R(A_1, \alpha, A_2)$ is defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & A_2 \\ & & \downarrow f'_2 \\ A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha \circ f'_1} & f_{2*}A_2 \end{array} \quad (2)$$

where $f'_\lambda: A_\lambda \rightarrow f_{\lambda*}A_\lambda$ denotes the natural map. We make A into an R -algebra by $b(a_1, a_2) = (p_1(b)a_1, p_2(b)a_2)$ for $b \in R$ and $(a_1, a_2) \in A$. (See [16, p. 20] and [22].) For convenience sake, we put $F_1 = \alpha \circ f'_1$, $F_2 = f'_2$ and $\bar{A} = f_{2*}A_2$. Then the diagram (2) induces the following pullback diagram of R -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{P_2} & A_2 \\ P_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow F_2 \\ A_1 & \xrightarrow{F_1} & \bar{A} \end{array} \quad (3)$$

where P_λ denotes the projection. Let M_λ be a finitely-generated projective A_λ -module. Suppose there is an \bar{A} -isomorphism $\Phi: F_{1*}M_1 \rightarrow F_{2*}M_2$. The fibre product

$M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)$ is defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M_2 & \\ & \downarrow F'_2 & \\ M_1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi \circ F'_1} & F_{2\#}M_2 \end{array} \quad (4)$$

where $F'_\lambda: M_\lambda \rightarrow F_{\lambda\#}M_\lambda$ denotes the natural map. Note that M is an A -module, [16, p. 20]. According to [5, Ch. III, §5], the diagram (4) induces a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & S_{A_2}(M_2) & \\ & \downarrow S(F'_2) & \\ S_{A_1}(M_1) & \xrightarrow{S(\Phi \circ F'_1)} & S_{\bar{A}}(\bar{M}) \end{array} \quad (5)$$

of symmetric algebras, where $\bar{M} = F_{2\#}M_2$. Let $M^S = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)^S$ denote the fibre product defined by the diagram (5). Given a pair of elements $a = (a_1, a_2) \in A$ and $c = (c_1, c_2) \in M^S$, we can easily verify that $(a_1c_1, a_2c_2) \in M^S$, and hence M^S may be viewed as an A -algebra by setting $ac = (a_1c_1, a_2c_2)$. Now let

$$\bar{\Phi}: F_{1\#}S_{A_1}(M_1) \rightarrow F_{2\#}S_{A_2}(M_2)$$

be an induced \bar{A} -isomorphism from $\Phi: F_{1\#}M_1 \rightarrow F_{2\#}M_2$. Then the fibre product $\text{AL}_A(S_{A_1}(M_1), \bar{\Phi}, S_{A_2}(M_2))$ is well defined. If we identify $F_{\lambda\#}S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda)$ with $S_{\bar{A}}(F_{\lambda\#}M_\lambda)$ by a natural isomorphism $F_{\lambda\#}S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) \cong S_{\bar{A}}(F_{\lambda\#}M_\lambda)$, then $\bar{\Phi} = S(\Phi)$. Therefore we have $M^S = \text{AL}_A(S_{A_1}(M_1), \bar{\Phi}, S_{A_2}(M_2))$. We keep these notations fixed throughout this section.

3.2. We consider the special case where $A_\lambda = R^{[n]}$. We identify $f_{\lambda\#}A_\lambda$ with $\bar{R}^{[n]}$ by an obvious isomorphism. In this case, $f'_\lambda: R^{[n]}_\lambda \rightarrow R^{[n]}$ is a natural homomorphism defined by $f'_\lambda(X_i) = X_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and α is an element of $\text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$. Suppose $\alpha \in f_2^*(\text{GA}_n(R_2))f_1^*(\text{GA}_n(R_1))$. We can choose a pair of elements $\alpha_i \in \text{GA}_n(R_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) so that $\alpha = f_2^*(\alpha_2)f_1^*(\alpha_1^{-1})$. If we set $Y_i = \alpha_1(X_i)$, $Z_i = \alpha_2(X_i)$ and $V_i = f_2^*(\alpha_2)(X_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), then $R_1^{[n]} = R_1[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]$, $R_2^{[n]} = R_2[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ and $\bar{R}^{[n]} = \bar{R}[V_1, \dots, V_n]$. Furthermore $A = \text{AL}_n(R_1^{[n]}, \alpha, R_2^{[n]})$ is the fibre product defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & R_2[Z_1, \dots, Z_n] & \\ & \downarrow F_1 & \\ R_1[Y_1, \dots, Y_n] & \xrightarrow{F_2} & \bar{R}[V_1, \dots, V_n] \end{array} \quad (6)$$

where $F_1(Y_i) = F_2(Z_i) = V_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Note that $A \cong_R R^{[n]}$.

3.3. In a similar way of 3.2 we consider the case where $M_\lambda = A_\lambda^n$ for any $A = \text{AL}_R(A_1, \alpha, A_2)$. Give a ring B , let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of B^n . Thus $A_\lambda^n = A_\lambda e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_\lambda e_n$ and $\bar{A} = \bar{A}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \bar{A}e_n$. We identify $F_{\lambda\#} A^n$ with \bar{A}^n by an obvious isomorphism. Note that Φ is an element of $\text{GL}_n(\bar{A})$. Now suppose

$$\Phi \in F_2^2(\text{GL}_n(A_2))F_1^1(\text{GL}_n(A_1)).$$

Then Φ is of the form $\Phi = F_2^2(\Phi_2)F_1^1(\Phi_1^{-1})$ for $\Phi_\lambda \in \text{GL}_n(A_\lambda)$. Let us set $\Phi_1(e_i) = y_i$, $\Phi_2(e_i) = z_i$ and $F_2^2(\Phi_2)(e_i) = v_i$. The fibre product $M = M_A(A_1^n, \Phi, A_2^n)$ is defined by the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{G_2} & A_2 z_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_2 z_n \\ G_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow F'_2 \\ A_1 y_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_1 y_n & \xrightarrow{\Phi \circ F'_1} & \bar{A} v_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \bar{A} v_n \end{array} \quad (7)$$

where $\Phi \circ F'_1(y_i) = F'_2(z_i) = v_i$. Therefore we may assume $M = A^n$, $G_1(e_i) = y_i$ and $G_2(e_i) = z_i$.

3.4. **Proposition.** *There is $M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)$ such that $S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) \cong_{R_\lambda} R_\lambda^{[n]}$.*

Proof. From the definition of F_λ , we get a natural isomorphism $\Omega_R(\bar{A}) \cong F_{\lambda\#} \Omega_{R_\lambda}(A_\lambda)$; so $F_{1\#} \Omega_{R_1}(A_1) \cong F_{2\#} \Omega_{R_2}(A_2)$. Since A_1 and A_2 are strongly projective, we can take finitely-generated modules Q_1 and Q_2 over A_1 and A_2 respectively so that $S_{A_1}(Q_1) \cong_{R_1} R_1^{[m]}$ and $S_{A_2}(Q_2) \cong_{R_2} R_2^{[k]}$. From Corollary 1.9 it follows that $\Omega_{R_1}(A_1) \oplus Q_1 \cong A_1^m$ and $\Omega_{R_2}(A_2) \oplus Q_2 \cong A_2^k$. Therefore if we set $M_1 = Q_1 \oplus A_1^k$ and $M_2 = Q_2 \oplus A_2^m$, then we obtain an isomorphism $\Phi: F_{1\#} M_1 \cong F_{2\#} M_2$ (see [16, p. 22]), i.e. $M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)$ is well defined. Defining $n = k + m$, it follows that $S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) \cong_{R_\lambda} R_\lambda^{[n]}$ as required. \square

3.5. **Proposition.** *Suppose $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair. Then $\{F_1, F_2\}$ is a Γ -pair.*

Proof. The proof consists of two steps.

(I) First we shall consider the special case where $A_\lambda = R_\lambda^{[n]}$. As shown in 3.2 we may assume $\bar{A} = \bar{R}^{[n]}$ and $\alpha \in \text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$. In order to prove that $\{F_1, F_2\}$ is a Γ -pair, it suffices to show that $\bar{R}^{[n]} = \alpha(\bar{R}_1^{[n]}) + t\bar{R}_2^{[n]}$. Let $X_1^{v_1} \dots X_n^{v_n} = H$ be any monomial of $\bar{R}^{[n]}$. We can choose an element $L \in \bar{R}^{[n]}$ so that $\alpha^{-1}(L) = H$. Since $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair, we have $\bar{R} = \bar{R}_2[\frac{1}{f}]$, and hence $t^r L \in t^r \bar{R}_2^{[n]}$ for sufficiently large integer r . This shows that $t^r H \in t^r \bar{R}_2^{[n]}[\alpha^{-1}(X_1), \dots, \alpha^{-1}(X_n)]$. On the other hand, each element $a \in \bar{R}$ is of the form $a = a_1 + t^r a_2$ ($a_\lambda \in \bar{R}_\lambda$). Therefore aH is contained in $\bar{R}_1^{[n]} + t\bar{R}_2^{[n]}[\alpha^{-1}(X_1), \dots, \alpha^{-1}(X_n)]$, which implies that $\bar{R}^{[n]} = \bar{R}_1^{[n]} + t\bar{R}_2^{[n]}[\alpha^{-1}(X_1), \dots, \alpha^{-1}(X_n)]$. Since $\bar{R}^{[n]} = \alpha(\bar{R}^{[n]})$, we have $\bar{R}^{[n]} = \alpha(\bar{R}_1^{[n]}) + t\bar{R}_2^{[n]}$ as required.

(II) Now we consider the general case. Let $M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)$ be as in Proposition 3.4. Since $f'_\lambda: A_\lambda \rightarrow f_{\lambda\#} A_\lambda$ is a natural map, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$f'_{\lambda\#}S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) \cong f_{\lambda\#}S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda)$; in other words,

$$(\bar{R} \otimes_{R_\lambda} A_\lambda) \otimes_{A_\lambda} S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) \cong \bar{R} \otimes_{R_\lambda} S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda).$$

Thus

$$S_{\bar{A}}(F_{1\#}M_1) \cong F_{1\#}S_{A_1}(M_1) \cong \alpha_{\#}f_{1\#}S_{A_1}(M_1)$$

and

$$S_{\bar{A}}(F_{2\#}M_2) \cong F_{2\#}S_{A_2}(M_2) \cong f_{2\#}S_{A_2}(M_2).$$

Under these isomorphisms we assume $S_{\bar{A}}(F_{1\#}M_1) = \alpha_{\#}f_{1\#}S_{A_1}(M_1)$ and $S_{\bar{A}}(F_{2\#}M_2) = f_{2\#}S_{A_2}(M_2)$. Let $\bar{\alpha}: f_{1\#}S_{A_1}(M_1) \rightarrow \alpha_{\#}f_{1\#}S_{A_1}(M_1)$ be a natural isomorphism induced from $\alpha: f_{1\#}A_1 \rightarrow f_{2\#}A_2$. On the other hand the isomorphism $\Phi: F_{1\#}M_1 \rightarrow F_{2\#}M_2$ induces an \bar{A} -algebra isomorphism $S(\Phi): S_{\bar{A}}(F_{1\#}M_1) \rightarrow S_{\bar{A}}(F_{2\#}M_2)$. Therefore if we denote by $\bar{f}_\lambda: S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) \rightarrow f_{\lambda\#}S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda)$ the natural map, then $S(\Phi \circ F'_1) = S(\Phi) \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{f}_1$ and $S(F'_2) = \bar{f}_2$. Recall that $S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) \cong_{R_\lambda} R_\lambda^{[n]}$ and $f_{\lambda\#}S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) \cong f_{\lambda\#}R_\lambda^{[n]}$. Thus we may assume $S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) = R_\lambda^{[n]}$ and $f_{\lambda\#}S_{A_\lambda}(M_\lambda) = \bar{R}^{[n]}$. In this case, $f_\lambda: R_\lambda^{[n]} \rightarrow \bar{R}^{[n]}$ is a natural homomorphism defined by $\bar{f}_\lambda(X_i) = X_i$. Moreover $S(\Phi) \circ \bar{\alpha}$ is an \bar{R} -automorphism of $\bar{R}^{[n]}$, i.e. $S(\Phi) \circ \bar{\alpha}$ is an element of $\text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$. From the assertion (I) it follows that $\{S(\Phi \circ F'_1), S(F'_2)\} = \{S(\Phi) \circ \bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2\}$ is a Γ -pair. So we get

$$S_{\bar{A}}(\bar{M}) = S(\Phi \circ F'_1)(S_{A_1}(M_1)) + tS(F'_2)(S_{A_2}(M_2)),$$

which implies $\bar{A} = F_1(A_1) + tF_2(A_2)$ because the restriction of $S(\Phi \circ F'_1)$ and $S(F'_2)$ to A_1 and A_2 are F_1 and F_2 respectively. Thus the proof of the proposition is completed. \square

3.6. Proposition. *If $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair, then M is a finitely-generated projective A -module. (See [16, p. 20].)*

Proof. According to [16, p. 22], we can choose $N = M_A(N_1, \bar{\Phi}, N_2)$ so that $M_\lambda \oplus N_\lambda \cong A_\lambda^n$. Since $M \oplus N = M_A(M_1 \oplus N_1, \Phi \oplus \bar{\Phi}, M_2 \oplus N_2)$, we may assume $M_\lambda = A_\lambda^n$ and $\Phi \in \text{GL}_n(\bar{A})$ (3.3). Note that $\{F_1, F_2\}$ is a Γ -pair by Proposition 3.5, and we can choose $\Psi \in \text{GL}_{3n}(\bar{A})$ so that $\Phi \oplus \Psi \in E_{4n}(F_2(A_2))E_{4n}(F_1(A_1))$ by Proposition 2.8. If we set $L = M_A(A_1^{3n}, \Psi, A_2^{3n})$, then $M \oplus L \cong M_A(A_1^{4n}, \Phi \oplus \Psi, A_2^{4n})$. Since $E_{4n}(F_\lambda(A_\lambda)) = F_\lambda^\circ(E_{4n}(A_\lambda))$, we have $M \oplus L = A^{4n}$ (3.3), which proves the proposition. \square

3.7. Proposition. (Milnor, [16, p. 20].) *Every finitely-generated projective module over A is isomorphic to $M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)$ for some M_1, M_2 and Φ .*

Proof. Let L be a finitely-generated projective A -module. We can choose some A -module K so that $L \oplus K \cong A^n$ for some n . Let us set $M_\lambda = P_{\lambda\#}L$ and $N_\lambda = P_{\lambda\#}K$. Since $F_1 \circ P_1 = F_2 \circ P_2$, there are natural isomorphisms $\Phi: F_{1\#}M_1 \rightarrow F_{2\#}M_2$ and $\Psi: F_{1\#}N_1 \rightarrow F_{2\#}N_2$. If we define $M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)$ and $N = M_A(N_1, \Psi, N_2)$, then $M \oplus N = M_A(M_1 \oplus N_1, \Phi \oplus \Psi, M_2 \oplus N_2)$. Now the natural map $L \oplus K \rightarrow M \oplus N$ is clearly an isomorphism, and hence the natural map $L \rightarrow M$ is also an isomorphism. \square

3.8. Proposition. Suppose $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair. Then M_λ is naturally isomorphic to $P_{\lambda\#}M$, i.e. the A_λ -homomorphism $\phi_\lambda: P_{\lambda\#}M \rightarrow M_\lambda$ induced from the projection $P_\lambda: M \rightarrow M_\lambda$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [16, p. 20]. The proof consists of two steps.

(I) Suppose $M_\lambda = A_\lambda^n$ and $\Phi \in F_2^\circ(\mathrm{GL}_n(A_2))F_1^\circ(\mathrm{GL}_n(A_1))$. Then M is defined by the pullback diagram (7) (3.3). Therefore $P_{1\#}M = A_1e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_1e_n$ and $M_1 = A_1y_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_1y_n$. Furthermore $\bar{P}_1: M \rightarrow M_1$ is defined by $\bar{P}_1(e_i) = G_1(e_i) = y_i$. This shows that $\phi_1: P_{1\#}M \rightarrow M_1$ is defined by $\phi_1(e_i) = y_i$, and hence ϕ_1 is an isomorphism.

(II) We consider the general case. From the proof of Proposition 3.6, we may regard $M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)$ as a direct summand of $M_A(M_1 \oplus L_1, \Phi \oplus \Psi, M_2 \oplus L_2)$ for some $L = M_A(L_1, \Psi, L_2)$ which satisfies $M_\lambda \oplus L_\lambda = A_\lambda^n$ and

$$\Phi \oplus \Psi \in F_2^\circ(E_n(A_2))F_1^\circ(E_n(A_1)).$$

Now let $\psi_\lambda: P_{\lambda\#}L \rightarrow L_\lambda$ be a natural map. Then

$$\phi_\lambda \oplus \psi_\lambda: P_{\lambda\#}M \oplus P_{\lambda\#}L \rightarrow M_\lambda \oplus L_\lambda$$

may be viewed as a natural map from $P_{\lambda\#}(M \oplus L)$ to $M_\lambda \oplus L_\lambda$ by setting $P_{\lambda\#}M \oplus P_{\lambda\#}L = P_{\lambda\#}(M \oplus L)$. From the assertion (I) it follows that $\phi_\lambda \oplus \psi_\lambda$ is an isomorphism, and hence ϕ_λ is also an isomorphism. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

3.9. Remark. Let $\bar{\Phi}: F_{1\#}P_1M \rightarrow F_{2\#}P_2M$ be a natural isomorphism. Then the fibre product $M_A(P_{1\#}M, \bar{\Phi}, P_{2\#}M)$ is well defined. From the definition of ϕ_λ in Proposition 3.8 the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{P_\lambda} & M_\lambda \\ & \searrow P'_\lambda & \uparrow \phi_\lambda \\ & & P_{\lambda\#}M \end{array}$$

is commutative, where P'_λ denotes the natural map. Therefore if we identify $P_{\lambda\#}M$ with M_λ by the isomorphism ϕ_λ , then it is easy to see that $\Phi = \bar{\Phi}$. This shows that $M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2) = M_A(P_{1\#}M, \bar{\Phi}, P_{2\#}M)$.

3.10. Proposition. Suppose $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair. Then $S_A(M)$ is A -isomorphic to M^S .

Proof. Since M is a finitely-generated projective A -module (Proposition 3.6), the component $S'_A(M)$ of $S_A(M)$ in degree r is also a finitely-generated projective A -module. Thus $S'_A(M)$ is naturally isomorphic to

$$N^{(r)} = M_A(P_{1\#}S'_A(M), \Phi^{(r)}, P_{2\#}S'_A(M)),$$

where $\Phi^{(r)}: F_{1\#}P_{1\#}S'_A(M) \rightarrow F_{2\#}P_{2\#}S'_A(M)$ denotes the natural isomorphism (Remark 3.9). By the isomorphism $\phi_\lambda: P_{\lambda\#}M \rightarrow M_\lambda$ as in Proposition 3.8, we may assume $P_{\lambda\#}M = M_\lambda$ and $P_{\lambda\#}S'_A(M) = S'_A(M_\lambda)$. Let

$$S(\Phi)_r: S'_A(F_{1\#}M_1) \rightarrow S'_A(F_{2\#}M_2)$$

be an isomorphism induced from $S(\Phi)$. Then it is easy to see that

$$N^{(r)} = M_A(S'_A(M_1), S(\Phi)_r, S'_A(M_2)),$$

and hence

$$M^S = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} N^{(r)} \cong \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} S'_A(M) = S_A(M).$$

which completes the proof. \square

3.11. Proposition. *Suppose $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair. Then A is strongly projective over R .*

Proof. The proof consists of two steps.

(I) First we consider the special case where $A_\lambda = R_\lambda^{[n]}$ and $\alpha \in \text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$ (3.2). Recall that $F_{\lambda\#}A_\lambda^n = \bar{A}^n$ (3.3) and $f_{\lambda\#}A = \bar{R}^{[n]} = \bar{A}$ (3.2). Thus the \bar{A} -module isomorphism $J(\alpha)^{-1}: F_{1\#}A_1^n \rightarrow F_{2\#}A_2^n$ is well defined because $J(\alpha)^{-1} \in \text{GL}_n(\bar{R}^{[n]})$. Let us set $M_\lambda = A_\lambda^n$ and $\Phi = J(\alpha)^{-1}$. Then

$$M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2) = M_A(A_1^n, J(\alpha)^{-1}, A_2^n).$$

If we identify $S_{\bar{A}}(\bar{A}^n)$ with $\bar{A}[X_{n+1}, \dots, X_{2n}] = \bar{R}^{[2n]}$ by an obvious isomorphism, then $S(J(\alpha)^{-1})$ may be considered as an element of $\text{GA}_{2n}(\bar{R})$. From the definition of $F'_1: A_1^n \rightarrow F_{1\#}A_1^n$ (3.3), it easily follows that $S(J(\alpha)^{-1} \circ F'_1) = \pi_n(J(\alpha)^{-1})\alpha \circ \bar{f}_1 = \bar{\alpha} \circ \bar{f}_1$, where $\bar{f}_1: R_1^{[2n]} \rightarrow \bar{R}^{[2n]}$ is a natural map. So M^S is isomorphic to $A' = \text{AL}_R(R_1^{[2n]}, \bar{\alpha}, R_2^{[2n]})$ as an R -algebra. Since $S_A(M) \cong_A M^S$ (Proposition 3.10), in order to show that A is strongly projective over R , it suffices to prove that A' is strongly projective over R (Proposition 1.10). From Proposition 2.9(ii) we have $\bar{\alpha} \in \text{GA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_2)\text{EA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_1)$. Thus $\bar{\alpha}$ is of the form $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha_2\alpha_1$ for $\alpha_2 \in \text{GA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_2)$ and $\alpha_1 \in \text{EA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_1)$. Since $\text{EA}_{2n}(\bar{R}_1) = f_1^*(\text{EA}_{2n}(R_1))$, we have $A' \cong_R \text{AL}_R(R_1^{[2n]}, \alpha_2, R_2^{[2n]})$ (3.2). Replacing A by A' , we may assume from the first that α is contained in $\text{GA}_n(\bar{R}_2)$. So $\bar{\alpha} \in f_2^*(\text{GA}_{2n}(R_2))$ by Proposition 2.9(i), and therefore $A' \cong R^{[2n]}$ (3.2), which completes the proof of the assertion (I).

(II) Next we consider the general case. Let $M = M_A(M_1, \Phi, M_2)$ be as in Proposition 3.4. Then $M^S \cong_R \text{AL}_R(R_1^{[n]}, \beta, R_2^{[n]})$ for some $\beta \in \text{GA}_n(\bar{R})$. Thus M^S is strongly projective over R by the assertion (I), and hence $S_A(M)$ is also strongly projective over R because $S_A(M) \cong_R M^S$ by Proposition 3.10. This shows that A is strongly projective over R (Proposition 1.10), which completes the proof of the proposition. \square

3.12. Proposition. *Let B be a flat R -algebra. Suppose $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair and suppose $p_{\lambda\#}B$ is a strongly projective R_λ -algebra for each $\lambda = 1, 2$. Then B is strongly projective over R .*

Proof. The diagram (1) induces the following pullback diagram of R -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{p'_2} & p_{2\#}B \\ p'_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_2 \\ p_{1\#}B & \xrightarrow{f_1} & f_2 p_{2\#}B \end{array}$$

Since $f_1 \circ p_1 = f_2 \circ p_2$, there is a natural isomorphism $\beta: f_{1\#}p_{1\#}B \rightarrow f_{2\#}p_{2\#}B$ and the fibre product $\text{AL}_R(p_{1\#}B, \beta, p_{2\#}B)$ is well defined. Furthermore $\text{AL}_R(p_{1\#}B, \beta, p_{2\#}B)$ is strongly projective over R by Proposition 3.11. Therefore B is also strongly projective over R because $B \cong \text{AL}_R(p_{1\#}, \beta, p_{2\#}B)$. \square

4. Quasi-polynomial algebras

4.1. Let A be an R -algebra. We will denote by \tilde{R} the integral closure of R in the total quotient ring of R . Recall that A is called a quasi-polynomial algebra in n -variables if $j(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A \cong j(\mathfrak{p})^{[n]}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , where $j(\mathfrak{p}) = R/\mathfrak{p}$. Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ and $P \subset S$ be a pair of prime ideals such that $\mathfrak{p} = f^{-1}(P)$. Then there is a natural ring homomorphism $j(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow j(P)$. Thus if A is a quasi-polynomial R -algebra, then $S \otimes_R A$ is a quasi-polynomial S -algebra.

4.2. Lemma. *Let R be a reduced noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial R -algebra in n -variables. Then there is a finitely-generated R -subalgebra S of \tilde{R} such that $S \otimes_R A \cong S^{[n]}$.*

Proof. Since R is reduced noetherian ring, R is the direct product $j(\mathfrak{p}_1) \times \cdots \times j(\mathfrak{p}_m)$ for all minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m$ of R . Furthermore $j(\mathfrak{p}_i) \otimes_R A \cong j(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{[n]}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and hence $\tilde{R} \otimes_R A \cong \tilde{R}^{[n]}$. Thus we may assume that A is an R -subalgebra of $\tilde{R}^{[n]}$ such that $\tilde{R} \otimes_R A \cong \tilde{R}[A] = \tilde{R}^{[n]}$ because A is R -flat. Suppose $A = R[h_1, \dots, h_r]$ for $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \tilde{R}^{[n]}$. We can choose $F_i = F_i(X_1, \dots, X_r) \in \tilde{R}^{[r]}$ so that $F_i(h_1, \dots, h_r) = X_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Let S be an R -subalgebra of \tilde{R} generated by all coefficients of $h_1, \dots, h_r \in \tilde{R}^{[n]}$ and $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \tilde{R}^{[r]}$. Then $S \otimes_R A \cong S[A] = S^{[n]}$ as required. \square

4.3. Lemma. *Let R be a noetherian ring with the nil-radical \mathfrak{r} , and let A be a flat R -algebra. Suppose $R/\mathfrak{r} \otimes_R A \cong (R/\mathfrak{r})^{[n]}$. Then $A \cong R^{[n]}$.*

Proof. We can choose $h_1, \dots, h_n \in A$ so that $A = R[h_1, \dots, h_n] + \mathfrak{r}A$ and the residue classes $\bar{h}_i \equiv h_i \pmod{\mathfrak{r}A}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) are algebraically independent over R/\mathfrak{r} . Since \mathfrak{r} is finitely-generated, we get a positive integer r such that $\mathfrak{r}^r = (0)$. Therefore $A = R[h_1, \dots, h_n] + \mathfrak{r}A = R[h_1, \dots, h_n]$. Now let $F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an element of $R^{[n]}$

such that $F(h_1, \dots, h_n) \in \mathfrak{r}[h_1, \dots, h_n]$. Then $F(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathfrak{r}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ because \bar{h}_i are algebraically independent over R/\mathfrak{r} . This shows that the kernel \mathfrak{a} of R -homomorphism $\phi: R^{[n]} \rightarrow R[h_1, \dots, h_n]$ defined by $\phi(X_i) = h_i$ is contained in $\mathfrak{r}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Since $A = R[h_1, \dots, h_n]$ is R -flat, we have $\mathfrak{a} = (0)$ by [17, p. 445], which completes the proof. \square

4.4. Lemma. *Let R be a noetherian ring with the nil-radical \mathfrak{r} , and let $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{r}$. Suppose a flat R -algebra A satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (i) $\Omega_R(A)$ is a finitely-generated projective A -module.
- (ii) $\bar{A} = \bar{R} \otimes_R A$ is strongly projective over \bar{R} .

Then A is strongly projective over R .

Proof. We can choose some projective A -module Q so that $\Omega_R(A) \oplus Q \cong A^n$. From the hypothesis (ii) we can find a projective \bar{A} -module \bar{M} so that $S_{\bar{A}}(\bar{M}) \cong_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}^{[m]}$ for some m . Note that $\Omega_R(\bar{A}) \oplus \bar{M} \cong \bar{A}^m$ (Corollary 1.9). If we define $\bar{Q} = \bar{A} \otimes_A Q$, then

$$\bar{M} \oplus \bar{A}^n \cong \bar{M} \oplus \Omega_R(\bar{A}) \oplus \bar{Q} \cong \bar{A}^m \oplus \bar{Q}.$$

Therefore

$$\bar{R} \otimes_R S_A(A^m \oplus Q) \cong_{\bar{A}} S_{\bar{A}}(\bar{M} \oplus \bar{A}^n) \cong_{\bar{R}} \bar{R}^{[n+m]}.$$

On the other hand, $S_A(A^m \oplus Q)$ is A -flat, and hence $S_A(A^m \oplus Q)$ is R -flat because A is R -flat. Thus $S_A(A^m \oplus Q) \cong_R R^{[m+n]}$ by Lemma 4.3. This shows that A is strongly projective over R . \square

4.5. Theorem. *Let R be a noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial R -algebra. Suppose $\Omega_R(A)$ is a projective A -module. Then A is a strongly projective R -algebra.*

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 4.4 we may assume that R is reduced. Suppose A is not strongly projective over R . Let S be a finitely-generated R -subalgebra of \bar{R} such that $S \otimes_R A \cong S^{[n]}$ as in Lemma 4.2. There is a non-zero divisor c in S such that $cS \subset R$. If c is a unit in S , then $S = R$, and hence $A \cong R^{[n]}$. This contradicts the assumption that A is not strongly projective over R . Therefore cS is a proper ideal of R . Now consider the following commutative diagram of rings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{g_1} & S \\ g_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_2 \\ R/cS & \xrightarrow{f_1} & S/cS \end{array}$$

where g_1, f_1 are the natural injections and g_2, f_2 are the canonical projections. This diagram is clearly a pullback diagram and $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a Γ -pair. If $R/cs \otimes_R A$ is strongly projective over R/cs , then A is strongly projective over R by Proposition

3.12, which is a contradiction. Thus $R/cS \otimes_R A$ is not strongly projective over R/cS . Let \sqrt{cS} denote the radical of cS in R , and let $R_{(1)} = R/\sqrt{cS}$. Then $R_{(1)} \otimes_R A$ is not strongly projective over $R_{(1)}$ (Lemma 4.4). Similarly we can construct a reduced noetherian ring $R_{(2)} = R_{(1)}/\mathfrak{a}$ for some proper radical ideal \mathfrak{a} of $R_{(1)}$ such that $R_{(2)} \otimes_R A$ is not strongly projective over $R_{(2)}$. If we continue this process, then we obtain an infinite sequence

$$R \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R_{(1)} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} R_{(2)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow R_{(i)} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \cdots$$

of canonical projections ϕ_i such that $\text{Ker } \phi_i \neq (0)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). This contradicts the hypothesis that R is noetherian. Therefore A is strongly projective over R , which completes the proof. \square

4.6. Remark. Let R be a reduced noetherian ring such that \tilde{R} is a finitely-generated R -algebra, and let A be a quasi-polynomial R -algebra. Then it follows from lemma 4.2 that $\tilde{R} \otimes_R A \cong \tilde{R}^{[n]}$. Thus A is necessarily a finitely-generated flat R -algebra with the differential A -module $\Omega_R(A)$ projective, [13], [19].

4.7. Corollary. Let R be a noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial R -algebra. Then A is stably equivalent to $R^{[n]}$ if and only if $\Omega_R(A)$ is stably equivalent to A^n .

Proof. Suppose $\Omega_R(A)$ is stably equivalent to A^n . Then $\Omega_R(A) \oplus A^r \cong A^{n+r}$ for some r . Since A is strongly projective over R by Theorem 4.5, we can choose a projective A -module M so that $S_A(M) \cong R^{[m]}$. Note that $\Omega_R(A) \oplus M \cong A^m$ by Corollary 1.9. Therefore $A^{n+r} \oplus M \cong A^{m+r}$, and hence $A^{[m+r]} \cong_A S_A(M)^{[n+r]} \cong_R R^{[m+n+r]}$. This shows that A is stably equivalent to $R^{[n]}$. Thus we complete the proof of the 'if' part. The proof of the 'only if' part is obvious. \square

5. Algebras with polynomial fibres

5.1. Lemma. Let R be a reduced noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated flat R -algebra. Suppose $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A \cong k(\mathfrak{p})^{[n]}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . Then $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective over A .

Proof. The total quotient ring K of R is the direct product $k(\mathfrak{p}_1) \times \cdots \times k(\mathfrak{p}_r)$ for all minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ of R . Thus $K \otimes_R A \cong K^{[n]}$. Since A is R -flat, we may assume that A is an R -subalgebra of $K^{[n]}$ such that $K \otimes_R A = K[A] = K^{[n]}$. Let P be a prime ideal of A . Suppose $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset P, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m \subset P, \mathfrak{p}_{m+1} \not\subset P, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r \not\subset P$. If we denote by L the total quotient ring of A_P , then L is the direct product $k(\mathfrak{p}_1 A) \times \cdots \times k(\mathfrak{p}_m A)$ because $\mathfrak{p}_i A$ is the minimal prime ideal of A such that $\mathfrak{p}_i A \subset P$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let us set $\tilde{L} = (k(\mathfrak{p}_1) \otimes_R A) \times \cdots \times (k(\mathfrak{p}_m) \otimes_R A)$. There is an injection $\tilde{L} \hookrightarrow L$. Furthermore from the hypothesis that $k(\mathfrak{p}_i) \otimes_R A \cong k(\mathfrak{p}_i)^{[n]}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) we have $\tilde{L} \otimes_A \Omega_R(A) \cong \tilde{L}^n$. This

shows that $L \otimes_A \Omega_R(A) \cong L \otimes_L (\bar{L} \otimes_A \Omega_R(A)) \cong L^n$, and hence $L \otimes_{A_P} (A_P \otimes_A \Omega_R(A)) \cong L^n$. On the other hand, for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap R$ of R , there is a natural map $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A \rightarrow k(P)$. Thus $k(P) \otimes_{A_P} (A_P \otimes_A \Omega_R(A)) \cong k(P)^n$ because $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R \Omega_R(A) \cong (k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A)^n$. It follows from Nakayama's Lemma that $A_P \otimes_A \Omega_R(A)$ is free over A_P . So $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective, which completes the proof. \square

5.2. Corollary. *Let R be a reduced noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated, flat, quasi-polynomial R -algebra. Then A is strongly projective over R .*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 and Lemma 5.1. \square

5.3. Lemma. *Let R be a local ring, and let $f: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be a ring homomorphism. Suppose A is a finitely-generated augmented R -algebra satisfying the following two conditions:*

(i) $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective over A .

(ii) $\bar{R} \otimes_R A \cong {}_R S_R(M)$ for some projective \bar{R} -module M . Then $\bar{R} \otimes_R A \cong \bar{R}^{[n]}$ for some n .

Proof. It suffices to show that M is free. We may identify $\bar{R} \otimes_R A$ with ${}_R S_R(M)$ by the isomorphism in (ii). Let $g: A \rightarrow R$ be an augmentation. Then we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & R \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ f_* A = \bar{R} \otimes_R A & \xrightarrow{g'} & \bar{R} \end{array}$$

of rings, where f' and g' are the natural maps. Thus $g'_* f'_* \Omega_R(A) \cong f_* g_* \Omega_R(A)$. Since $\bar{R} \otimes_R A \cong {}_R S_R(M)$, we have

$$f'_* \Omega_R(A) \cong \Omega_R(f_* A) \cong {}_R S_R(M) \otimes_R M.$$

Therefore

$$f_* g_* \Omega_R(A) \cong g'_* ({}_R S_R(M) \otimes_R M) \cong M.$$

Note that $g_* \Omega_R(A)$ is a free R -module, and hence M is a free \bar{R} -module, which completes the proof. \square

5.4. Let t be a non-unit element of a ring R . We denote by \hat{R} the tR -adic completion of R .

5.5. Lemma. *Let $R_r = R/t^r R$, and let A be an R -algebra such that $R_r \otimes_R A$ is weakly projective over R_r for each positive integer r . Then $\hat{R} \otimes_R A$ is an augmented \hat{R} -algebra.*

Proof. Let $\phi_r: R_{r+1} \rightarrow R_r$ be a canonical projection, and let $\phi'_r: R_{r+1} \otimes_R A \rightarrow R_r \otimes_R A$ be an induced homomorphism. Since $R_r \otimes_R A$ is weakly projective over R_r , there is an augmentation $f_r: R_r \otimes_R A \rightarrow R_r$. From the definition of weakly projective algebra, [8, p. 283], we can choose an augmentation $f_{r+1}: R_{r+1} \otimes_R A \rightarrow R_{r+1}$ so that $\phi_r \circ f_{r+1} = f_r \circ \phi'_r$. If $g_r: R_r \rightarrow R_r \otimes_R A$ is a natural map, then $f_r \circ g_r$ is the identity map on R_r . Now the sets $\{g_r\}$ and $\{f_r\}$ give rise to the following homomorphisms

$$\varprojlim R_r \xrightarrow{g_\infty} \varprojlim (R_r \otimes_R A) \xrightarrow{f_\infty} \varprojlim R_r$$

of inverse limits such that $f_\infty \circ g_\infty$ is the identity map on $\varprojlim R_r$. In other words, f_∞ is an augmentation of an \hat{R} -algebra \hat{A} , where \hat{A} denotes the tA -adic completion of A . If $\psi: \hat{R} \otimes_R A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is a natural map, then $f_\infty \circ \psi: \hat{R} \otimes_R A \rightarrow \hat{R}$ is clearly an augmentation, and hence $\hat{R} \otimes_R A$ is an augmented \hat{R} -algebra. \square

5.6. An R -algebra A is called a locally quasi-polynomial R -algebra in n -variables if $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R A$ is a quasi-polynomial $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra in n -variables for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R .

5.7. Theorem. Let R be a noetherian ring, and let A be a finitely-generated flat R -algebra. Suppose $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A \cong k(\mathfrak{p})^{[1]}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . Then A is a locally quasi-polynomial R -algebra in one variable.

Proof. From the definition of locally quasi-polynomial algebras, we may assume that R is an integral local domain. The proof consists of two steps.

(I) First we consider the special case where A is an augmented R -algebra. In order to prove Theorem 5.7 it suffices to show that $\hat{R} \otimes_R A \cong \hat{R}[X] (\cong \hat{R}^{[1]})$. Let K be a quotient field of R . We may assume that A is an R -subalgebra of $K[X]$ such that $K \otimes_R A = K[A] = K[X]$. Since A is finitely-generated over R , we can take an element $t \in R$ so that $R[\frac{1}{t}]A = R[\frac{1}{t}][X]$. If t is a unit element in R , then $A = R[X]$, and hence A is a locally quasi-polynomial algebra. Thus we may assume that t is a non-unit element in R . Given a prime ideal P of \hat{R} , the localization $\bar{R} = \hat{R}_P$ is a Krull ring, and therefore \bar{R} is of the form

$$\bar{R} = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}] \cap \left(\bigcap_{v \in V} R_v \right),$$

where V is a finite set of discrete valuations v of K whose valuation rings R_v contain \bar{R} . Note that $\bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}] \otimes_R A = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][A]$ and $R_v \otimes_R A = R_v[A]$. Therefore

$$\bar{R}[A] = \bar{R} \otimes_R A = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][A] \cap \left(\bigcap_{v \in V} R_v[A] \right).$$

By virtue of [15] we have $R_v[A] \cong R_v[X]$. Thus $R_v[A]$ is of the form $R_v[A] = R_v[a_v X + b_v]$ for $a_v \in K - (0)$ and $b_v \in K$. Now let $g: A \rightarrow R$ be an augmentation, and let $g': K \otimes_R A \rightarrow K$ be an augmentation induced from g . Then $g'(\bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][A]) = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}]$ and $g'(R_v[A]) = R_v$. This shows that $g'(X) \in \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}]$ and $a_v g'(X) + b_v \in R_v$. If we set

$Y = X - g'(X)$, then $R[\frac{1}{t}][X] = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][Y]$ and $R_v[a_v X + b_v] = R_v[a_v Y]$. So we get

$$\bar{R}[A] = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][Y] \cap \left(\bigcap_{v \in V} R_v[a_v Y] \right) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} M_j Y^j,$$

where

$$M_j = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}] \cap \left(\bigcap_{v \in V} R_v a_v^j \right).$$

Let $A = R[h_1, \dots, h_n]$. Then

$$\bar{R}[A] = \bar{R}[h_1, \dots, h_n] = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} M_j Y^j.$$

Thus h_i is of the form $h_i = m_{i1}Y + \dots + m_{ir}Y^r$ for $m_{ij} \in M_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$, $i = 1, \dots, n$), where r is the maximal Y -degree of h_1, \dots, h_n . Let us set $N_j = \bar{R}m_{1j} + \dots + \bar{R}m_{nj} \subset M_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$), the \bar{R} -submodule of M_j generated by m_{1j}, \dots, m_{nj} . It is easy to see that each N_j is a fractional ideal of \bar{R} such that $\bar{R}[A] = \bar{R}[N_1 Y, \dots, N_r Y^r]$. Recall that $\bar{R}[A] = \bar{R} \otimes_R A$ is \bar{R} -flat, and hence N_1 is a projective \bar{R} -module because N_1 is a direct summand of $\bar{R}[A]$. Since \bar{R} is local, N_1 is a free \bar{R} -module of rank one. In other words, $\bar{R}[A]$ is of the form $\bar{R}[A] = \bar{R}[aY, N_2 Y^2, \dots, N_r Y^r]$ for some $a \in K$. Therefore

$$R_v[A] = R_v[a_v Y] = R_v[aY, N_2 Y^2, \dots, N_r Y^r]$$

and

$$\bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][A] = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][Y] = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][aY, N_2 Y^2, \dots, N_r Y^r],$$

which imply $R_v[a_v Y] = R_v[aY]$ and $\bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][Y] = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][aY]$. This shows that

$$\bar{R}[A] = \bar{R}[\frac{1}{t}][aY] \cap \left(\bigcap_{v \in V} R_v[aY] \right) = \bar{R}[aY] \cong \bar{R}[X],$$

i.e. $\bar{R} \otimes_R A = \bar{R}[A]$ is a locally polynomial \bar{R} -algebra in one variable. According to [4], we can choose a projective \bar{R} -module M of rank one so that $\bar{R} \otimes_R A \cong S_{\bar{R}}(M)$. Note that $\Omega_R(A)$ is projective over A by Lemma 5.1; so it follows from Lemma 5.2 that $\bar{R} \otimes_R A \cong \bar{R}[X]$ as required.

(II) Next we consider the general case by induction on (Krull) dimension $\dim R = r$. If $r = 0$, then R is a field and Theorem 5.7 is obvious. Suppose $\dim R = r$. As shown in the proof of (I) we can take $t \in R$ so that

$$R[\frac{1}{t}][A] = R[\frac{1}{t}][X] \subset K[A] = K[X],$$

where K is a quotient field of R . We may assume t a non-unit element in R . From the induction hypothesis, $R_r \otimes_R A$ is a quasi-polynomial R_r -algebra in one variable, where $R_r = R/t^r R$ for any positive integer r . On the other hand, $\Omega_R(A)$ is a projective A -module by Lemma 5.1, and therefore $\Omega_{R_r}(R_r \otimes_R A)$ is projective over $R_r \otimes_R A$. This shows that $R_r \otimes_R A$ is strongly projective over R_r by Theorem 4.5. A strongly projective algebra is weakly projective (1.3). Thus $\bar{R} \otimes_R A$ is an augmented \bar{R} -algebra (Lemma 5.5). Furthermore $\bar{R} \otimes_R A$ is a finitely-generated flat \bar{R} -algebra such that $k(P) \otimes_R A \cong k(P)[X]$ for each prime ideal P of \bar{R} . Note that \bar{R} is a

noetherian local ring and, by the assertion (I) above, $\hat{R} \otimes_R A$ is a quasi-polynomial \hat{R} -algebra. This shows that $\hat{R} \otimes_R A$ is strongly projective over \hat{R} (Theorem 4.5). Now consider the following commutative diagram of rings:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{g_1} & \hat{R} \\ g_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_2 \\ R\left[\frac{1}{t}\right] & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \hat{R}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right] \end{array} \quad (8)$$

where g_λ, f_λ ($\lambda = 1, 2$) are natural injections. Since \hat{R} is faithfully flat over R , we have $R = R\left[\frac{1}{t}\right] \cap \hat{R}$, i.e. the diagram (8) is a pullback diagram. Let $R[[X]]$ be a formal power series ring in one variable. Then $\hat{R} = R[[X]]/(X - t)$, and hence each element $a \in \hat{R}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]$ is of the form $a = a_0 t^k + a_1 t^{k+1} + \dots$ for some integer k . Thus $\hat{R}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]$ is of the form $\hat{R}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right] = R\left[\frac{1}{t}\right] + t\hat{R}$. This shows that $\{f_1, f_2\}$ in the diagram (8) is a Γ -pair, and therefore A is strongly projective over R by Proposition 3.12. In particular A is an augmented R -algebra. From the assertion (I) it follows that A is a quasi-polynomial R -algebra, which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

5.8. Corollary. *Let R be a reduced noetherian ring. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is a finitely-generated, flat, locally, quasi-polynomial R -algebra in one variable.*
- (ii) *A is a weakly projective R -algebra such that $\dim k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A = 1$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R .*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): This implication follows from Theorem 5.7 and Corollary 5.2.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose A is a weakly projective R -algebra. Then A is clearly finitely-generated flat over R . Furthermore $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A$ is weakly projective over $k(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R A \cong k(\mathfrak{p})^{[1]}$, [8], [10]. \square

6. Invertible algebras

6.1. Let R be a ring. An R -algebra A is called invertible if there is an R -algebra B such that $A \otimes_R B \cong_R R^{[n]}$ for some n . As for the basic properties of invertible algebras we refer to [8]. (Called 'projective' algebras in [8].)

6.2. Proposition. *Let R be a local ring, and let A be an invertible R -algebra. Then $\Omega_R(A)$ is stably equivalent to a free A -module of finite rank. (See [14, p. 330].)*

Proof. Let B be an invertible R -algebra such that $A \otimes_R B \cong R^{[n]}$. An invertible algebra is weakly projective, [8]. Let $f: B \rightarrow R$ be an augmentation. Then f induces

an augmentation $f': A \otimes_R B \rightarrow A$ as an A -algebra. Since $\Omega_R(A \otimes_R B) \cong (A \otimes_R B)^n$, we have

$$(A \otimes_R B)^n \cong \Omega_R(A) \otimes_R B \oplus A \otimes_R \Omega_R(B).$$

Therefore we obtain an A -isomorphism

$$f'_\#(A \otimes_R B)^n \cong f'_\#(\Omega_R(A) \otimes_R B) \oplus f'_\#(A \otimes_R \Omega_R(B)).$$

Note that $f'_\#(A \otimes_R B)^n \cong A^n$ and $f'_\#(\Omega_R(A) \otimes_R B) \cong \Omega_R(A)$. On the other hand, $f'_\#(A \otimes_R \Omega_R(B)) \cong A \otimes_R f'_\# \Omega_R(B)$. This shows that $f'_\#(A \otimes_R \Omega_R(B))$ is a free A -module because $f'_\# \Omega_R(B)$ is a free R -module. Thus $\Omega_R(A)$ is stably equivalent to a free A -module of finite rank. \square

6.3. Lemma. *Let $R \subset S$ be a ring extension, and let M be a finitely-generated projective $R^{[n]}$ -module. Suppose $S \otimes_R M$ is extended from S . Then there is a finitely-generated R -subalgebra \bar{R} of S such that $\bar{R} \otimes_R M$ is extended from \bar{R} .*

Proof. We can choose an $R^{[n]}$ -module M' so that $M \oplus M' \cong R^{[n]r}$ for some r . We may assume $M \oplus M' = R^{[n]}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R^{[n]}e_r$, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ is a basis of $R^{[n]r}$. Suppose M is generated by $F_1, \dots, F_r \in R^{[n]r}$. Then $S \otimes_R M \cong S^{[n]}F_1 + \cdots + S^{[n]}F_r$, where the right hand side is considered as an $S^{[n]}$ -submodule of $S^{[n]r} = S^{[n]}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S^{[n]}e_r$. Since $S \otimes_R M$ is extended from S , we can find a finitely-generated projective S -module N so that $S \otimes_R M \cong S^{[n]} \otimes_S N$. Let N' be an S -module such that $N \oplus N' \cong S^m$ for some m . We may assume $N \oplus N' = S^m$. Suppose $N = SG_1 + \cdots + SG_m$ and $N' = SG'_1 + \cdots + SG'_m$ for $G_i, G'_i \in S^m$. Then $S^{[n]} \otimes_S N \cong S^{[n]}G_1 + \cdots + S^{[n]}G_m$. Thus there is an $S^{[n]}$ -isomorphism

$$\phi: S^{[n]}G_1 + \cdots + S^{[n]}G_m \rightarrow S^{[n]}F_1 + \cdots + S^{[n]}F_r.$$

Now it is easy to see that there is a finitely-generated R -subalgebra \bar{R} of S satisfying the following two conditions:

$$(a) \quad \bar{R}^{[n]}\phi(G_1) + \cdots + \bar{R}^{[n]}\phi(G_m) = \bar{R}^{[n]}F_1 + \cdots + \bar{R}^{[n]}F_r,$$

$$(b) \quad (\bar{R}G_1 + \cdots + \bar{R}G_m) \oplus (\bar{R}G'_1 + \cdots + \bar{R}G'_m) = \bar{R}^m.$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R} \otimes_R M &\cong \bar{R}^{[n]}F_1 + \cdots + \bar{R}^{[n]}F_r \cong \bar{R}^{[n]}G_1 + \cdots + \bar{R}^{[n]}G_m \\ &\cong \bar{R}^{[n]} \otimes_R (\bar{R}G_1 + \cdots + \bar{R}G_m), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\bar{R} \otimes_R M$ is extended from \bar{R} . \square

6.4. Corollary. *Let $R \subset S$ be a ring extension, and let A be a weakly projective R -algebra. Let M be a finitely-generated projective A -module. Suppose $S \otimes_R M$ is extended from S . Then there is a finitely-generated R -subalgebra \bar{R} of S such that $\bar{R} \otimes_R M$ is extended from \bar{R} .*

Proof. Since $S \otimes_R M$ is extended from S , the $S^{[n]}$ -module $S^{[n]} \otimes_A M$ is extended from S . Thus there is a finitely-generated R -subalgebra \bar{R} of S such that $\bar{R}^{[n]} \otimes_A M$ is extended from \bar{R} , i.e. $\bar{R}^{[n]} \otimes_A M \cong \bar{R}^{[n]} \otimes_R \bar{M}$ for some \bar{R} -module \bar{M} . Now let $h: R^{[n]} \rightarrow A$ be an augmentation, and let $h': \bar{R}^{[n]} \rightarrow \bar{R} \otimes_R A$ be an induced augmentation. Then we have $h'_*(\bar{R}^{[n]} \otimes_A M) \cong h'_*(\bar{R}^{[n]} \otimes_R \bar{M})$ as $\bar{R} \otimes_R A$ -modules. From the definition of h' , we see that $h'_*(\bar{R}^{[n]} \otimes_A M) \cong \bar{R} \otimes_R M$ and $h'_*(\bar{R}^{[n]} \otimes_R \bar{M}) \cong (\bar{R} \otimes_R A) \otimes_R \bar{M}$. Therefore we get $\bar{R} \otimes_R M \cong (\bar{R} \otimes_R A) \otimes_R \bar{M}$. This shows that $\bar{R} \otimes_R M$ is extended from \bar{R} , which completes the proof of the corollary. \square

The following lemma is due to Connell [8].

6.5. Lemma. *Let R be a ring, and let A be a weakly projective R -algebra. Then $\Omega_R(A)$ is finitely-generated projective over A .*

Proof. (See [8, p. 289].) Since A is weakly projective, we have a pair of ring homomorphisms $g: A \rightarrow R^{[n]}$ and $f: R^{[n]} \rightarrow A$ such that $f \circ g = \text{id}_A$. Therefore we get a map $g_* \Omega_R(A) \rightarrow \Omega_R(R^{[n]})$, which induces a map $F: f_* g_* \Omega_R(R) \rightarrow f_* \Omega_R(R^{[n]})$. From the assumption $f \circ g = \text{id}_A$ it follows that $f_* g_* \Omega_R(A)$ and $\Omega_R(A)$ are naturally isomorphic, and hence we may identify $\Omega_R(A)$ with $f_* g_* \Omega_R(A)$. On the other hand, g induces a map $G: f_* \Omega_R(R^{[n]}) \rightarrow \Omega_R(A)$. It is easy to verify that $G \circ F$ is the identity on $\Omega_R(A)$. This shows that $\Omega_R(A)$ is a direct summand of a free A -module $f_* \Omega_R(R^{[n]})$, which completes the proof. \square

6.6. Lemma. *Let R be a noetherian ring with $\dim R = r$, and let A be an R -algebra stably equivalent to $R^{[1]}$. Then $A^{[n]} \cong R^{[n+1]}$ for $n = 2^r - 1$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{r} be a nil-radical of R , and let $\bar{R} = R/\mathfrak{r}$. Then $\bar{R} \otimes_R A^{[n]} \cong \bar{R}^{[n+1]}$ for $n = 2^r - 1$, [1, p. 474]. Since A is R -flat, we have $A^{[n]} \cong R^{[n+1]}$ by Lemma 4.3. \square

6.7. Theorem. *Let R be a ring, and let A be an R -algebra. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is an invertible R -algebra such that $\Omega_R(A) \in \text{Pic}(A)$.*
- (ii) *A is stably equivalent to $S_R(M)$ for some $M \in \text{Pic}(R)$. (See [14, p. 336].)*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let B be an R -algebra such that $A \otimes_R B \cong_R R^{[n]}$. Since the natural maps $A \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$ and $B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$ are injective, [8], we may assume that A and B are R -subalgebras of $R^{[n]}$ such that $A \otimes_R B \cong A[B] = R^{[n]}$, i.e. $A \otimes_R B$ is naturally isomorphic to $R^{[n]}$. Suppose $A = R[F_1, \dots, F_n]$ and $B = R[G_1, \dots, G_n]$. Then we can choose a finitely-generated π -subalgebra \bar{R} of R so that $\bar{R}[F_1, \dots, F_n, G_1, \dots, G_n] = \bar{R}^{[n]}$, where π denotes the prime ring of R . Note that \bar{R} is a finite-dimensional noetherian ring. Let us set $\bar{A} = \bar{R}[F_1, \dots, F_n]$ and $\bar{B} = \bar{R}[G_1, \dots, G_n]$. Let $g: R^{[n]} \rightarrow R$ be an augmentation defined by $g(X_i) = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and let $h = g|_B$, the restriction of g to B . Thus $h: B \rightarrow R$ is an augmentation such that $h(\bar{B}) = \bar{R}$. Consider the augmentation

$h': A \otimes_R B \rightarrow A$ induced from $h: B \rightarrow R$. Through the natural isomorphism $A \otimes_R B \rightarrow A[B]$, we may regard h' as an augmentation of $R^{[n]}$ such that $h'(\bar{B}) = \bar{R}$. Therefore we have $h'(\bar{R}^{[n]}) = h'(\bar{A}[B]) = \bar{A}$, and hence \bar{A} is weakly projective over \bar{R} . Thus $\Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A})$ is a finitely-generated projective \bar{A} -module (Lemma 6.5). Since $\Omega_R(A)$ is an element of $\text{Pic}(A)$ such that $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R \Omega_R(A)$ is stably equivalent to a free $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R (Proposition 6.2), $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R \Omega_R(A)$ itself is a free $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank one. This shows that $\Omega_R(A)$ is extended from R (Proposition 1.7). Note that $R \otimes_R \bar{A} \cong A$ and $R \otimes_R \Omega_R(\bar{A}) \cong \Omega_R(A)$. By virtue of Corollary 6.4 we can find a finitely-generated \bar{R} -subalgebra R' of R so that $R' \otimes_R \Omega_R(\bar{A})$ is extended from R' . Since $R' \otimes_R \bar{A} \cong R'[\bar{A}]$, the $R'[\bar{A}]$ -module $\Omega_{R'}(R'[\bar{A}])$ is extended from R' . Replacing \bar{R} by R' , we may assume from the first that $\Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A})$ is extended from \bar{R} . Let P be any prime ideal of \bar{R} . Then $\bar{R}_P \otimes_{\bar{R}} \Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A})$ is a free \bar{A}_P -module of rank one, which implies $k(P) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A}) \cong (k(P) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{A})^1$, a free module of rank one over $k(P) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{A}$. Thus $k(P) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{A}$ is a weakly projective $k(P)$ -algebra with $\dim k(P) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{A} = 1$, [8, p. 289], and therefore we have $k(P) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{A} \cong k(P)^{[1]}$ (see [8], [10]). It follows from Theorem 5.7 that \bar{A} is locally quasi-polynomial \bar{R} -algebra in one variable. Since $\Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A}_P)$ is a free \bar{A}_P -module of rank one, \bar{A}_P is stably equivalent to $\bar{R}_P^{[1]}$ as an \bar{R}_P -algebra (Corollary 4.7). So $\bar{A}_P^{[n]} \cong \bar{R}_P^{[n+1]}$ for $n = 2r - 1$, where $r = \dim \bar{R}$ (Lemma 6.6). In other words, $\bar{A}^{[n]}$ is locally polynomial \bar{R} -algebra, and therefore $\bar{A}^{[n]} \cong_R S_{\bar{R}}(\bar{N})$ for some projective \bar{R} -module \bar{N} , [4]. Under this isomorphism we identify $\bar{A}^{[n]}$ with $S_{\bar{R}}(\bar{N})$. Let $\phi: S_{\bar{R}}(\bar{N}) \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be a natural augmentation, and let $\psi: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be a restriction of ϕ to \bar{A} . Since $\Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A}^{[n]}) \cong \bar{A}^{[n]} \otimes_{\bar{A}} (\Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A}) \oplus \bar{A}^n)$ and $\Omega_{\bar{R}}(S_{\bar{R}}(\bar{N})) \cong S_{\bar{R}}(\bar{N}) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{N}$, we have $\psi_*(\Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A}) \oplus \bar{A}^n) \cong \phi_*(S_{\bar{R}}(\bar{N}) \otimes_{\bar{R}} \bar{N})$, which implies $\psi_* \Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A}) \oplus \bar{R} \cong \bar{N}$. If we define $\bar{M} = \psi_* \Omega_{\bar{R}}(\bar{A})$, then $\bar{M} \in \text{Pic}(\bar{R})$ and $\bar{A}^{[n]} = S_{\bar{R}}(\bar{N}) \cong_R S_{\bar{R}}(\bar{M})^{[n]}$. Let us set $M = R \otimes_R \bar{M}$. Then $M \in \text{Pic}(R)$ and $R \otimes_R \bar{A}^{[n]} \cong_R S_R(M)^{[n]}$. Recall that $R \otimes_R \bar{A} \cong A$, and hence $A^{[n]} \cong_R S_R(M)^{[n]}$. This shows that A is stably equivalent to $S_R(M)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Obvious. \square

6.8. Let R be a reduced ring, and let S be a reduced ring containing R . We say that R is F -closed in S if any element $a \in S$ such that $a^2, a^3, na \in R$ for some positive integer n is contained in R . When R is F -closed in any reduced ring S containing R , we say that R is an F -ring. An R -algebra A stably equivalent to $R^{[1]}$ is always isomorphic to $R^{[1]}$ if and only if R is an F -ring. Let C be a reduced ring with a finite number of minimal prime ideals, and let K be the total quotient ring of C . The intersection $F(C) = \bigcap_v C_v$ of all F -rings such that $C \subset C_v \subset K$ is also an F -ring, which is called an F -closure of C . We note that C is an F -ring if and only if $F(C) = C$. Suppose C is a subring of an F -ring R . Then there is an injective C -homomorphism $F(C) \hookrightarrow R$, and hence $F(C)$ may be viewed as a subring of R . (See [1].)

6.9. Corollary. Let R be a ring such that R/\mathfrak{x} is an F -ring, where \mathfrak{x} is the nil-radical of R . Let A be an R -algebra. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) A is an invertible R -algebra such that $\Omega_R(A) \in \text{Pic}(A)$.
- (ii) $A \cong_R S_R(M)$ for some $M \in \text{Pic}(R)$ (cf. [14]).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let \bar{A} be as in the proof of Theorem 6.7. Thus $\bar{A}^{[n]} \cong S_R(\bar{M})^{(n)}$ for $\bar{M} \in \text{Pic}(\bar{R})$. We set $C = \bar{R}/(\bar{r} \cap \bar{R})$. Since \bar{R} is a noetherian ring, C is a noetherian subring of the F -ring R/\bar{r} . Therefore the F -closure $F(C)$ is also a subring of R/\bar{r} (6.8). If P is any prime ideal of $F(C)$, then $F(C)_P \otimes_R \bar{A}$ is clearly stably equivalent to $F(C)_P^{[1]}$, and hence we have $F(C)_P \otimes_R \bar{A} \cong F(C)_P^{[1]}$ because $F(C)_P$ is an F -ring, [1]. Thus we can take $N \in \text{Pic}(F(C))$ so that $F(C) \otimes_R \bar{A} \cong S_{F(C)}(N)$, [4]. Thus we get

$$R/\bar{r} \otimes_R A \cong R/\bar{r} \otimes_{F(C)} (F(C) \otimes_R \bar{A}) \cong S_{R/\bar{r}}(R/\bar{r} \otimes_{F(C)} N).$$

Now let \mathfrak{p} be any prime ideal of R . Then

$$(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\bar{r}R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{R/\bar{r}} (R/\bar{r} \otimes_R A) \cong (R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\bar{r}R_{\mathfrak{p}})^{[1]},$$

which implies $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R A \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{[1]}$, [1], because $R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R A$ is stably equivalent to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{[1]}$ (Theorem 6.7). This shows that $A \cong S_R(M)$ for some $M \in \text{Pic}(R)$, [4], which completes the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Obvious. \square

6.10. Corollary. Let R be a ring containing an infinite field k . Let A and B be any pair of invertible R -algebras such that $\Omega_R(A) \in \text{Pic}(A)$ and $\Omega_R(B) \in \text{Pic}(B)$. Then $A \otimes_R B \cong S_R(M) \otimes_R S_R(N)$ for some $M, N \in \text{Pic}(R)$.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 6.7, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is stably equivalent to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{[1]}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . Similarly, $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also stably equivalent to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{[1]}$. Thus $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} B_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}^{[2]}$, [2], i.e. $A \otimes_R B$ is a locally polynomial R -algebra. So we can choose a projective R -module L so that $A \otimes_R B \cong S_R(L)$, [4]. We identify $A \otimes_R B$ with $S_R(L)$. Then

$$\Omega_R(A \otimes_R B) \cong \Omega_R(A) \otimes_R B \oplus A \otimes_R \Omega_R(B) \cong S_R(L) \otimes_R L.$$

If $\phi: S_R(L) \rightarrow R$ be a natural augmentation, then

$$\phi_*(\Omega_R(A) \otimes_R B) \oplus \phi_*(A \otimes_R \Omega_R(B)) \cong L.$$

Let us set $M = \phi_*(\Omega_R(A) \otimes_R B)$ and $N = \phi_*(A \otimes_R \Omega_R(B))$. Then $M, N \in \text{Pic}(R)$ and $A \otimes_R B = S_R(L) \cong S_R(M) \otimes_R S_R(N)$ as required. \square

6.11. Remark. (See [22].) Let k be a field of characteristic zero, and let $k[t^2, t^3]$ be a subring of a polynomial ring $k[t]$. Let $P = (t^2, t^3)$ be a prime ideal of $k[t^2, t^3]$. We set $R = k[t^2, t^3]_P$ and $A = R[X + tX^n] + PR[X]$ ($n > 1$), where X is an indeterminate. Then A is weakly projective R -algebra such that $A \not\cong R[X]$, [22, p. 355]. Note that R is an F -ring, and hence A is not invertible (Corollary 6.9).

Acknowledgment

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Prof. D. Wright who pointed out the application of [4] in Theorem 6.7 and Corollary 6.10. The author

also wish to thank Prof. M. Miyanishi for kindly advices during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] T. Asanuma, D -algebras which are D -stably equivalent to $D[Z]$, in: Proc. Intl. Symposium on Algebraic Geometry, Kyoto 1977 (Kinokuniya Bookstore, Tokyo) 447–476.
- [2] T. Asanuma, On stably polynomial algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 26 (3) (1982), to appear.
- [3] H. Bass, *Algebraic K -theory* (Benjamin, New York, 1968).
- [4] H. Bass, E. H. Connel and D. Wright, Locally polynomial algebras are symmetric algebras, *Inventions Math.* 38 (1977) 279–299.
- [5] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra I* (Hermann, Paris, 1974), English transl.
- [6] J.W. Brewer and D.L. Costa, Seminormality and projective modules over polynomial rings, *J. Algebra* 58 (1979) 208–216.
- [7] M. Bryński, Forms of the rings $R[X]$ and $R[X, Y]$, *Glasgow Math. J.* 13 (1972) 91–97.
- [8] E.H. Connell, A K -theory for the category of projective algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 5 (1974) 281–292.
- [9] E.H. Connel and D. Wright, A Mayer–Vietoris sequence in non-linear K -theory, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 16 (1980) 149–165.
- [10] D.L. Costa, Retract of polynomial rings, *J. Algebra* 44 (1977) 492–502.
- [11] P. Eakin and W. Heinzer, A cancellation problem for rings, in: *Lecture Notes in Math.* No. 311 (Springer, Berlin–New York, 1972) 61–77.
- [12] S. Endo, Projective modules over polynomial rings, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 15 (1963) 339–352.
- [13] M.D. Ferran, Descente de la platitude par un homomorphisme fini, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* 269 (1969) 946–949.
- [14] C. Greither, Seminormality, projective algebra and invertible algebras, *J. Algebra* 70 (1981) 316–338.
- [15] T. Kambayashi and M. Miyanishi, On flat fibrations by the affine line, *Illinois J. Math.* 22 (1978) 662–671.
- [16] J. Milnor, *Introduction to Algebraic K -theory*, *Annals of Math. Studies* No. 72 (Princeton Univ. Press, 1971).
- [17] M. Nagata, Flatness of an extension of a commutative ring, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 9 (3) (1969) 439–448.
- [18] D. Quillen, Projective modules over polynomial rings, *Inventions Math.* 36 (1976) 167–171.
- [19] M. Raynaud and L. Gruson, Critères de platitude et de projectivité, *Invent. Math.* 13 (1971) 1–89.
- [20] R.G. Swan, On seminormality, *J. Algebra* 67 (1980) 210–229.
- [21] C. Traverso, Seminormality and Picard group, *Annali della Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* 24 (1970) 585–595.
- [22] J. Yanik, Projective algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 21 (1980) 339–358.